

**Application No.:** 10/828,793  
**Filing Date:** April 20, 2004

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

Applicant's representatives, John M. Carson and Liberty E. Mann, conducted a personal interview with Examiner Shubo Zhou and Supervisory Patent Examiner Marjorie Moran on November 9, 2010.

### Identification of Claims Discussed

The independent claims were discussed, as was dependent Claim 10.

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

Iliff (U.S. Pat. No. 5,935,060) and Branson (U.S. Pat. No. 6,598,035) were discussed.

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

The applied references do not show all of the features of the claims.

### Results of Interview

The Examiners indicated that the terms following the phrase "so that" in the independent claims are an intended purpose. They further indicated that incorporation of these elements into the features of the "processor" recited in the independent claims was desirable. They also indicated that method claims similar to the pending claims, such as the features recited in Claim 10, would not raise new issues if added to the application.